

Docket No. UV-57

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants : William L. Thomas et al.

Application No. : 09/139,798 Confirmation No.: 5738

Filed : August 25, 1998

For : PROGRAM GUIDE SYSTEM WITH MONITORING OF

ADVERTISEMENT USAGE AND USER ACTIVITIES

Group Art Unit : 2611

Examiner : Son P. Huynh

New York, New York December 31, 2003

RECEIVED

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

JAN 0 6 2004

Technology Center 2600

TRANSMITTAL LETTER

Sir:

Transmitted herewith: [] a Preliminary Amendment;
[X] an Reply to Restriction Requirement;[] a substitute
Specification; [] a Declaration; [] a Supplemental
Declaration; [] a Power of Attorney; [] an Associate Power
of Attorney; [] formal drawings; to be filed in the aboveidentified patent application.

FEE FOR ADDITIONAL CLAIMS

[X] A fee for additional claims is not required.

[] A fee for additional claims is required.

The additional fee has been calculated as shown below:

	CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT		NI Pl	HIGHEST NUMBER PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR			PRESENT EXTRA		RATE		ADDITIONAL FEES		
TOTAL CLA	IMS	46	_	126	*	=	0	х	\$	18	=	\$	0.00
INDEPENDE.	NT	2	-	16	**	=	0	х	\$	86	=	\$	0.00
FIRST PRESENTATION OF A MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM								+	\$2	290	=	\$	
<pre>* If less than 20, insert 20. ** If less than 3, insert 3.</pre>									OT <i>I</i>	ΑL		<u>\$</u>	0.00

- [] A check in the amount of \$____ in payment of the filing fee is transmitted herewith.
- [X] The Director is hereby authorized to charge payment of any additional filing fees required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.16, in connection with the paper(s) transmitted herewith, or credit any overpayment of same, to deposit Account No. 06-1075. A duplicate copy of this transmittal letter is transmitted herewith.
- [] Please charge \$_____ to Deposit Account No. 06-1075 in payment of the filing fee. A duplicate copy of this transmittal letter is transmitted herewith.

EXTENSION FEE

[] The following extension is applicable to the Response filed herewith; [] \$110.00 extension fee for response within first month pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a);

[] \$420.00 extension fee for response within second month pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a); [X] \$950.00 extension fee for response within third month pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a); [] \$1,480.00 extension fee for response within fourth month pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a); \$2,010.00 within fifth month pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a).

- [] A check in the amount of [] \$110.00; [] \$420.00; [] \$950.00; [] \$1,480.00; [] \$2,010.00 in payment of the extension fee is transmitted herewith.
- [X] The Director is hereby authorized to charge payment of any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17 in connection with the paper(s) transmitted herewith, or to credit any overpayment of same, to Deposit Account No. 06-1075. A duplicate copy of this transmittal letter is transmitted herewith.
- [] Please charge the [] \$110.00; [] \$420.00; [] \$950.00; [] \$1,480.00; [] \$2,010.00; extension fee to Deposit Account No. 06-1075. A duplicate copy of this transmittal letter is transmitted herewith.

Philip R. Pol

Registration No. 51,176

Agent for Applicants

FISH & NEAVE

Customer No. 1473

1251 Avenue of the Americas

New York, New York 10020-1105

Tel.: (212) 596-9000

I hereby certify that this Correspondence is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service as First Class Mail in an envelope Addressed to: Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on

Signature of Person Signing

Lillian Garcia



#18 WLQUON 114104

PATENTS
Attorney Docket No. UV-57

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

PATENT APPLICATION

Applicants : William L. Thomas et al.

Application No.: 09/139,798 Confirmation No.: 5738

Filed : August 25, 1998

For : PROGRAM GUIDE SYSTEM WITH MONITORING

OF ADVERTISEMENT USAGE AND USER

ACTIVITIES

Group Art Unit : 2611

Examiner : Son P. Huynh JAN 0 6 2004

Technology Center 2600

RECEIVED

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

REPLY TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Sir:

Applicants hereby reply to the Office Action dated December 3, 2003, which did not address the merits of the above-identified patent application, but required restriction of the application to one of two inventions.

Remarks begin on page 2 of this Reply.